Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **000**, 1–6 (2015) Printed 8 December 2015 (MN LATEX style file v2.2)

CK Vul: a smorgasbord of hydrocarbons rules out a 1670 nova (and much else besides)

A. Evans[1⋆], R. D. Gehrz[2], C. E. Woodward[2], P. J. Sarre[3], J. Th. van Loon[1], L. A. Helton[4], S. Starrfield[5], S. P. S. Eyres[6]

[1]*Astrophysics Group, Lennard Jones Laboratory, Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK*
[2]*Minnesota Institute for Astrophysics, School of Physics & Astronomy, 116 Church Street SE, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA*
[3]*School of Chemistry, The University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK*
[4]*USRA-SOFIA Science Center, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA*
[5]*School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Box 871404, Tempe, AZ 85287-1404, USA*
[6]*Jeremiah Horrocks Institute, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE, UK*

arXiv:1512.02146v1 [astro-ph.SR] 7 Dec 2015

Version of 8 December 2015

ABSTRACT

We present observations of CK Vul obtained with the *Spitzer Space Telescope*. The infrared spectrum reveals a warm dust continuum with nebular, molecular hydrogen and HCN lines superimposed, together with the "Unidentified Infrared" (UIR) features. The nebular lines are consistent with emission by a low density gas. We conclude that the *Spitzer* data, combined with other information, are incompatible with CK Vul being a classical nova remnant in "hibernation" after the event of 1670, a "Very Late Thermal Pulse", a "Luminous Red Variable" such as V838 Mon, or a "Diffusion-induced nova". The true nature of CK Vul remains a mystery.

Key words: circumstellar matter – stars: individual, CK Vul – infrared: stars – ISM: molecules

1 INTRODUCTION

CK Vul[1] has been the subject of considerable interest. Shara, Moffat & Webbink (1985) proposed that CK Vul is the oldest "old nova", as its optical faintness ties in well with the "hibernation" hypothesis for classical novae (CNe; see e.g. Vogt 1990). Shara et al. (1985) reconstructed its visual light curve from contemporary documents (their paper also includes an excellent contemporary finding chart) and concluded that its light curve resembled that of very slow novae.

However Harrison (1996), on the basis of infrared (IR) data, suggested that CK Vul might be the result of a "very late thermal pulse" (VLTP) in a low mass ($\sim M_\odot$) star. VLTPs are caused by re-ignition of a residual helium shell as the star evolves towards the white dwarf (WD) region of the H–R diagram, following which it retraces its evolutionary track (e.g. Iben 1982; Herwig 2005) and becomes a "Born-again" giant. CK Vul's sub-millimetre properties (Evans et al. 2002, hereafter EvLZ), coupled with the discovery of a planetary nebula (PN) in the vicinity of the eruptive object (Hajduk et al. 2007), further suggest that it might be the result of a VLTP; indeed Shara et al. considered the possibility that CK Vul is a very young PN. Other interpretations include a stellar merger event (Kato 2003; Kamiński et al. 2015; Prodan et al. 2015), and a "diffusion-induced nova" (DIN; Miller Bertolami et al. 2011, see Section 5 below). The nature of Nova Vul 1670 and of CK Vul is discussed by Hajduk et al. (2007), who consider various scenarios and conclude that none is without difficulty. In particular they argue that the observational properties (e.g. light curve, ejected mass, low ejecta velocity) of CK Vul are inconsistent with a CN.

CK Vul was detected as a thermal radio source by Hajduk et al. (2007), who also found that it lies at the centre of a faint bipolar Hα nebula of extent $\sim 70''$ (~ 0.2 pc at a distance of 550 pc; Shara et al. 1985), the previously-known nebula (see e.g. Shara et al. 1985; Naylor et al. 1992) lying at its waist. Hajduk et al. (2013) found that the flux of the latter nebula had declined over the period 1991–2010, and that background stars were rendered variable by motion of dust in the vicinity of CK Vul.

Kamiński et al. (2015; hereafter KMT) have carried out sub-millimetre spectroscopy of CK Vul, with spatial resolution ranging from $7''$ to $28\farcs5$. They reported a rich spectrum of small hydrocarbon molecules, including HCO^+, HCN, HNC and their isotopologues. They conclude that the gas around CK Vul is greatly enhanced in nitrogen, and contains a number of O-bearing molecules (such as SiO, H_2CO) that are also seen in C-rich stars. On the other hand, the absence of molecules (such as SiC, HC_3N) that are common in C-rich environments led them to conclude that the gas around CK Vul does not appear to be carbon rich. Isotopic ratios (such as $^{12}C/^{13}C$, $^{16}O/^{18}O$) are grossly non-solar. KMT determine

⋆ E-mail: a.evans@keele.ac.uk

[1] As in Evans et al. (2002) we refer to the 1670 event as "Nova Vul 1670" and to the object observed post-1980 as CK Vul.



Figure 1. MIPS 24 μm scan of the region around CK Vul, showing IRS mapping footprint. Short wavelength (5.8–14.2 μm) spectra were obtained with the shorter slits, the long wavelength (14.2–40.0 μm) data with the longer slits. CK Vul is the bright source at the centre of the "cross". The white rectangle centred on CK Vul indicates the approximate scale and orientation of the nebula reported by Hajduk et al. (2007). J2000 co-ordinate grid is included.

the mass of gas to be $\sim 1\,M_\odot$, far in excess of what would be expected for a CN eruption. They conclude, on the basis of isotopic ratios and current luminosity, that the most likely interpretation of CK Vul is a stellar merger.

Here we discuss observations carried out with the InfraRed Spectrograph (IRS; Houck et al. 2004) on the *Spitzer Space Telescope* (Werner et al. 2003; Gehrz et al. 2007) that further tip the balance away from the CN, VLTP and stellar merger interpretations.

2 THE IRS SPECTRUM

CK Vul was observed at low resolution ($R = \lambda/\Delta\lambda = 60 - 127$) with the *Spitzer* IRS in mapping mode (AOR 10839296) on 2004 October 24. In the short wavelength (5.8–14.2 μm) range the ramp duration was 14 s. Step size parallel to the slit was $60''$; step size perpendicular to the slit $3''$, with seven pointings along the dispersion. In the long wavelength (14.2–40.0 μm) range the ramp duration was 120 s, with $150''$ steps parallel to the slit, $5''$ perpendicular. There were seven pointings along the dispersion. The observation time was 3350 s. The sky coverage is given in Fig. 1.

The spectra were extracted with SPICE version 2.5 using the "Extended Source" extraction module. The individual spectral scans did not differ significantly from position to position and the spectrum shown in Fig. 2 (top), labelled "Nebula", is the result of averaging all 28 spectra. The flux density given in Fig. 2 is that through the IRS slit; it corresponds to a brightness of ~ 4.3 MJy ster^{-1} at 15 μm, and ~ 2.8 MJy ster^{-1} at 32 μm.

There is clearly a point source at the position of CK Vul, as shown in Fig. 1 (see also KMT); the latter is an image obtained with the Multiband Imaging Photometer for *Spitzer* (MIPS; Rieke et al. 2004). CK Vul (FWHM $7''$) is unresolved in the 24 μm MIPS image. We have also used SPICE to extract the IRS spectrum of the point source. This spectrum, labelled 'Source', is also shown in Fig. 2 (top). The IRS fluxes for the 'Source' are consistent, within the IRS calibration uncertainties, with the data in Table 1 of EvLZ and the spectrum shown by KMT.



Figure 2. Top: *Spitzer* spectrum of CK Vul, for point source and surrounding nebula (red). The flux density for the nebula is that seen through the IRS slit. Middle: IRS spectrum of point source; UIR features are identified by horizontal bars at 6.6 μm, 7.7 μm, 8.6 μm, 11.5 μm, 12.7 μm and 16–18 μm (from Tielens 2008). The broken red curve is for a 173 K black body. See text for discussion of features labelled "?". Bottom: difference between the IRS spectrum of the point source and the 173 K blackbody in the wavelength range $5 - 22$ μm, highlighting the UIR features.

3 EMISSION FEATURES

3.1 Ionic lines

A number of emission lines from the gas phase are evident in Fig. 2; these are listed in Table 1, together with suggested identifications; none of the ionic emission lines is resolved, and all have very low excitation ($E_{\rm upper}$ in cm^{-1} is included in Table 1). For fine structure lines, the critical density at 10^4 K above which collisional de-excitation dominates radiative de-excitation is given (mostly taken from Giveon et al. 2002; Helton et al. 2011).

Naylor et al. (1992) and Hajduk et al. (2013) note the presence of [N II] and [S II] lines in the optical spectrum of CK Vul; using their flux ratios (there is negligible change in the flux ratios over the period 1992–2010) and data in Osterbrock & Ferland (2006),

Table 1. Emission lines in the *Spitzer Space Telescope* IRS spectrum of the CK Vul "source". See text for discussion of CLOUDY modelling.

Wavelength (μm)	Identification	Transition (Upper→Lower)	$E_{\rm upper}$ (cm^{-1})	$n_{\rm crit}$ (cm^{-3})	Flux (10^{-17} W m^{-2})	CLOUDY flux (10^{-17} W m^{-2})
10.50 ± 0.01	[S IV] 10.510 μm	^{2}P$_{3/2}$–^{2}P$_{1/2}$	951	4.20×10^4	2.4 ± 0.4	0.44
14.19 ± 0.02	H^{12}CN, H^{13}CN	See text		—	7.3 ± 0.9	
17.04 ± 0.02	H$_2$ 17.035 μm	S(1) $J = 3 \rightarrow 1$	705	—	2.4 ± 0.2	
18.80 ± 0.02	[S III] 18.713 μm	^{3}P$_2$ – ^{3}P$_1$	833	2.22×10^4	3.3 ± 0.4	2.71
25.85 ± 0.04	[O IV] 25.890 μm	^{2}P$^o_{3/2}$ – ^{2}P$^o_{1/2}$	386	9.94×10^3	2.0 ± 0.3	1.91
28.25 ± 0.02	H$_2$ 28.219 μm	S(0) $J = 2 \rightarrow 0$	354	—	4.8 ± 0.2	
33.56 ± 0.02	[S III] 33.481 μm	^{3}P$_1$ – ^{3}P$_0$	299	7.04×10^3	1.3 ± 0.2	2.30
34.74 ± 0.02	[Si II] 34.815 μm	^{2}P$^o_{3/2}$ – ^{2}P$^o_{1/2}$	287	5.60×10^5	13.3 ± 0.6	13.30

we find $T_{\rm e} = 12\,000$ K and $n_{\rm e} = 500$ cm^{-3}. However the [S III] 18.71 μm/33.48 μm line ratio points to a somewhat higher $n_{\rm e}$, $\sim 2\,500$ cm^{-3}, but these values of $n_{\rm e}$ are generally below the critical densities in Table 1.

The [O IV] ^{2}P$_{3/2}$–^{2}P$_{1/2}$ 25.890 μm line, which is ubiquitous in mature CNe (Helton et al. 2012) and common (but not universal) in PNe (Stanghellini et al. 2012), is clearly present. However its full-width half-maximum (0.54 μm) is significantly greater than that of the other lines (~ 0.3 μm), suggesting that there may be a contribution from another species. The [S IV] ^{2}P$_{3/2}$–^{2}P$_{1/2}$ 10.510 μm line, which is often strong in PNe, is present in CK Vul. While it is possible that there is a contribution from H I Huα (12.369 μm) and/or the [Ne II] ^{2}P$_{1/2}$–^{2}P$_{3/2}$ 12.814 μm fine structure line to the feature at ~ 12.7 μm, this is more likely to be a UIR feature; we discuss this further below.

The features at 33.56 μm and 34.74 μm are also present in the VLTP object FG Sge, but they are very strong in CK Vul compared to their strength in FG Sge (Evans et al. 2015). We identify these features as [S III] 33.481 μm ^{3}P$_1$ – ^{3}P$_0$ (33.56 μm) and [Si II] ^{2}P$_{3/2}$–^{2}P$_{1/2}$ 34.815 μm (34.74 μm) respectively (see Fig. 2).

We have used the photo-ionisation code CLOUDY (Ferland et al. 2013) to obtain some pointers to the environment of CK Vul. We take the luminosity of the central source to be 0.9 L$_\odot$ (KMT), and explore a range of effective temperatures (from 40 kK to 120 kK) and abundances (including solar). We use the above values of $n_{\rm e}$ and $T_{\rm e}$ as a guide, on the assumption that the optical and IR lines arise in the same region. The unknown (and almost certainly large) dust opacity in the visual/ultra-violet between the (unknown) ionising source and the surrounding nebula means that any discussion of line intensities is highly uncertain, and parameters have been changed in an *ad hoc* fashion. Further the relatively few ionic lines in the IRS range means that our ability to constrain parameters such as source properties and abundances are limited, so our conclusions should be regarded with considerable caution. In particular, the lack of C and N lines in the IRS range means that we can neither confirm nor refute KMT's conclusions about the abundances of these species, but the presence of UIR features (see Section 4.1 below) suggests a carbon-rich environment. We confine the CLOUDY output to the IRS wavelength range.

The absence of certain lines (e.g. [Ne II] 12.81 μm, [Ar III] 8.99 μm), the presence of [S II] and [O IV], and the high $T_{\rm e}$, point to non-solar abundances and the presence of a central source with effective temperature at least ~ 50 kK. The "CLOUDY flux" values in Table 1 are based on a central source with temperature 100 kK, density 10^3 H atoms cm^{-3}, neon and argon both $0.1\times$solar, sulphur $0.5\times$ solar, and iron heavily depleted; the resultant $T_{\rm e} \simeq 10^4$ K. If iron is indeed heavily depleted it may be locked up in grains.

We have no satisfactory identification for lines at 30.53 ± 0.02 μm and 32.31 ± 0.02 μm (with fluxes of 0.9 ± 0.1 and 0.8 ± 0.1 $\times 10^{-17}$ W m^{-2}, respectively. S I] ^{5}S$^o_2$ – ^{3}P$_1$ (30.405 μm) and S I ^{3}F – ^{3}D$_0$ (32.296 μm) match the wavelengths but their excitation energies are far higher than any of the other features, and neither featured in our CLOUDY runs; and while [P II] ^{3}P$_2$ – ^{3}P$_1$ has an excitation energy of 469 cm^{-1} and was present in CLOUDY output, its wavelength (32.871 μm) is a poor match to the feature. While some crystalline silicates have features at these wavelengths, they can be ruled out as we would expect additional features elsewhere.

3.2 Molecular lines

There is a significant emission line at 17.04 ± 0.02 μm; we assign this to the S(1) line from the ground vibrational state of molecular hydrogen; this sits atop broader UIR features centred at 17.4 μm and 16.4 μm. A feature at 28.25 μm is at the expected wavelength of the corresponding S(0) line (28.219 μm) and, in view of the presence of the S(1) line, we assign the 28.25 μm feature to H$_2$. The non-detection of S(2) (12.28 μm) is likely due to the fact that the $J = 4$ level (1169 cm^{-1}, 0.15 eV) is not sufficiently populated. The H$_2$ rotational excitation is probably collisional, the level of internal excitation (~ 0.087 eV) being similar to that of [S III] (~ 0.1 eV).

A possible identification for the line at 14.183 μm is H I 13–9; however for $T_{\rm e} = 12\,000$ K and $n_{\rm e} = 500$ cm^{-3}, the flux in the H I 13–9 line would be ~ 0.05 that of Hu-α (cf. Storey & Hummer 1995); however it is doubtful whether Hu-α is present (see above) so the H I 13–9 line flux should be negligible. In view of the detection of HCN in CK Vul by KMT and the low ^{12}C/^{13}C ratio, a more plausible identification is a blend of H^{12}CN ($2\nu_2^2 - 1\nu_2^1$ at 14.00 μm, $1\nu_2^1 - 0\nu_2^0$ at 14.04 μm) and H^{13}CN (Q branch at 14.1605 μm).

4 THE DUST FEATURES

4.1 The UIR features

The Unidentified InfraRed (UIR) features are clearly present but there is no clear evidence for the 9.7 μm and 18 μm silicate features (see Fig. 2). While it is not uncommon to see the simultaneous presence of both silicates and UIR features in CNe and evolved objects (e.g. Evans et al. 2005; Guzman-Ramirez et al. 2011; Helton et al. 2011) the likelihood is that we may be seeing dust formed in a

carbon-rich environment in CK Vul. The UIR features at 6.2 μm, 7.7 μm, 8.6 μm, 11.2 μm, 12.7 μm and 16.4–18.9 μm (see Tielens 2008, for details) are prominent in both the point source and the inner nebula. While KMT concluded that C-bearing molecules typically present in C-rich environments are missing (see Section 1), it is feasible that C is depleted from the gas phase and present in UIR carriers. The excitation of the UIR features requires a source of ultraviolet (UV) radiation (Tielens 2008) and it seems likely that the central object (whatever its nature) is that source, although it is likely to be highly extinguished by the surrounding dust.

The "6.2 μm" feature, which is due to C=C stretch, seems to be relatively weak in CK Vul. Hudgins, Bauschlicher & Allamandola (2005) suggest that this feature arises from substitution of some C atoms in the C-ring structure by N. However, this is difficult to reconcile with the suggestion that the abundance of nitrogen is greatly enhanced in CK Vul (KMT) – possibly the result of CNO cycling. Its weakness may be due to a predominance of neutral PAHs.

The central wavelength of the "7.7 μm" feature is well-known to be correlated with the effective temperature of the exciting star (see Fig. 5 of Sloan et al. 2007) and the central wavelength of $\sim 7.7 \pm 0.1$ μm in CK Vul indicates an exciting source with an effective temperature of at least $\sim 14\,000$ K; a similar constraint on the effective temperature is implied by the central wavelength of 11.24 ± 0.05 μm for the "11.2 μm" feature (Sloan et al. 2007; Candian & Sarre 2015). This is the effective temperature "seen" by the UIR carriers: as internal extinction has the effect of making a blackbody appear cooler than it actually is, this provides a lower limit on the temperature of the central object.

As noted in Section 3.1 the feature at 12.7 μm is most probably the UIR "12.7 μm" feature. As shown by Hony et al. (2001), the 12.7 μm UIR feature is skewed, being steep on the long wavelength side and with a strong blue wing (cf. Fig. 2). The relative strengths of the 11.2 μm and 12.7 μm features is an indication of the molecular structure of the emitting PAH molecules (Tielens 2008). Hony et al. (2001) argue that the 11.2 μm feature arises predominantly from neutral PAH molecules, while the latter arises from positively charged PAHs.

The flux ratio $f_{6.2}/f_{11.2}$ depends on the parameter $G_0/n_{\rm e}[T_{\rm gas}/1000\,{\rm K}]^{0.5}$, where $T_{\rm gas}$ is the gas temperature and G_0 is a measure of the flux between the Lyman continuum and 0.2 μm, normalised to the value in the solar neighbourhood (the latter being $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ W m^{-2}; see Tielens 2005; Galliano et al. 2008). In CK Vul $f_{6.2}/f_{11.2} \sim 0.33$ which, with the assumed value of $n_{\rm e}$ and taking $T_{\rm gas} \lesssim T_{\rm e}$ (as is the case, for example, in photodissociation regions and other ionised environments; Tielens 2005), gives $G_0 \gtrsim 2 \times 10^4$. This value is comparable with the value of G_0 for the PN NGC 7027 and the reflection nebula NGC 2023 (6×10^5 and 1.5×10^4 respectively; Galliano et al. 2008). These considerations confirm the premise that there is a source of UV radiation in the CK Vul system.

4.2 Comparison with nova UIR features

The formation of carbon-rich dust in CN winds is not uncommon (Evans & Rawlings 2008; Gehrz 2008; Helton et al. 2011; Evans & Gehrz 2012). Likely, CK Vul is the remnant of what was recorded as "Nova Vul 1670". Comparison of the UIR features in CK Vul with those in dusty CNe that show UIR features (see Helton et al. 2011, for a summary) is therefore of interest.

In the CN DZ Cru the dust had a temperature ~ 450 K at 1477 days after maximum (Evans et al. 2010) and by 2010, the dust had cooled to ~ 420 K (Evans et al. 2014). Were it to continue cooling at the same rate for ~ 350 years (the age of CK Vul) it would cool to ~ 20 K, comparable with the temperature of dust in the diffuse interstellar medium (Abergel et al. 2014). The underlying dust continuum of CK Vul (Fig. 2) however resembles a modified black body at $\sim 15 - 50$ K (KMT), somewhat warmer than would be expected for dust produced in a CN eruption in 1670 and cooling as it flows away from the site of the eruption. By comparison, no dust was detected in a *Spitzer* observation of the dusty CN NQ Vul some 27.5 years after eruption (Helton et al. 2012).

Secondly, over the limited wavelength range of the IRS spectrum, the "continuum" resembles a 173 K black body; we subtract this from the spectrum in Fig. 2 to highlight the UIR features in CK Vul. The UIR emission in CK Vul is are entirely unlike those typical of CNe. The latter display strong 6.2 μm, 7.7 μm and 8.6 μm features and a relatively weak 11.2 μm feature (Evans et al. 2010; Helton et al. 2011); the reverse is the case for CK Vul. As noted by Helton et al., the UIR features in novae tend to lie in "Class C", as described by Peeters et al. (2002), with classes "A" and "B" excluded; the UIR features in CK Vul on the other hand are closer to Peeters et al.'s "Class A", given the peak wavelength for the 11.2 μm feature of 11.24 ± 0.05 μm. The 11.2 μm profile has a redward tail as is commonly found, but the slope of the short-wavelength side is less steep than found in many objects. Noting the peak wavelength and gradual short-wavelength slope, the profile in CK Vul is consistent with a distribution of lower-mass PAHs than found in Class A objects (Candian & Sarre 2015). Finally, the 16–18 μm region is very prominent with UIR band peaks at 16.46 ± 0.01 μm and 17.33 ± 0.06 μm. Unfortunately, neither feature is understood, although they have been the subject of a critical assessment (Boersma et al. 2010).

While these considerations do not in themselves demonstrate the non-nova-like nature of CK Vul, the cumulative UIR evidence suggests that the dusty environment of CK Vul is entirely unlike that of dusty CNe.

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The visual light curve of Nova Vul 1670 (Shara et al. 1985) could pass for that of a very slow CN, a stellar merger or a VLTP. The visual magnitude at maximum was $m_{\rm vis} \simeq 2.6$ (Shara et al. 1985); as we have no information about the bolometric correction for 1670, and the interstellar reddening is somewhat uncertain, all we can confidently conclude is that the luminosity at outburst was $> 2.4 \times 10^4\,{\rm L}_\odot$, assuming a distance of 550 pc (Shara et al. 1985). Its current luminosity, based entirely on the dust emission, is $\sim 0.9\,{\rm L}_\odot$ (KMT) and the effective temperature of the central source, based on the UIR features, must currently be $\gtrsim 14\,000$ K (Section 4.1), and possibly as high as $\sim 10^5$ K (Section 3.1) . It is also likely that the immediate environment of the central source, and that of the inner nebulosity, is carbon-rich. Any speculation regarding the nature of Nova Vul 1670 and CK Vul must satisfy these constraints; a summary of these properties is given in Table 2.

The *Spitzer* IRS spectrum of CK Vul, together with the IR-sub-millimetre properties (EvLZ, KMT) point conclusively to the non-nova nature of Nova Vul 1670. While we have no coverage of a *bona fide* CN over ~ 350 years, the strong, long-wavelength, dust continuum (EvLZ, KMT), emission by small hydrocarbon molecules and molecular ions and the large ejected mass (KMT), are inconsistent with what is expected for a dusty CN some 350 years after eruption. The CN interpretation may now therefore be discounted completely.

There are similarities between CK Vul and the VLTP objects

Table 2. The nature of Nova Vul 1670, CK Vul and possible hypotheses. See text for details.

	CK Vul	CN	VLTP	Merger	DIN[1]
Visual light curve	Erratic	Erratic (slow novae)	Erratic + deep minimum	Erratic	Like slow novae
Peak luminosity ($L_\odot$)	$> 10^4$	$\sim 10^4$	$\sim 10^4$	$\sim 10^4$	$\sim 10^4$
Luminosity after 350 years ($L_\odot$)	0.9	~ 1	$\sim 10^4$	?	$\sim 1-10$
$T_{\rm eff}$ after 350 years (1 000 K)	$\gtrsim 14$			?	~ 40
Ejected mass ($M_\odot$)	~ 1	$\sim 10^{-4}$		?	$< 10^{-3}$
Presence of PN/remnant	Yes	Yes	Yes	?	No
Presence of Li	In "PN"	Not expected	Possibly [2]	Produced in WD mergers [3]	?
C abundance of star	C>O	—	C>O	O>C for known	C>O
C abundance of inner neb	C>O	C> or <O [4]	C>O		C>O

[1] Miller Bertolami et al. (2011). [2] Hajduk et al. (2005). [3] Longland et al. (2012). [4] Of ejecta; see Starrfield, Illiadis & Hix (2008).

FG Sge and V4334 Sgr (Sakurai's Object; Evans et al. 2015). These objects (and other VLTPs) and CK Vul are carbon-rich, display UIR and small hydrocarbon molecules, and lie at the centre of a faint PN (Dürbeck & Benetti 1996; Hajduk et al. 2007). There are also similarities between the IRS spectrum of CK Vul with those of post-AGB stars and young PNe (see e.g. Cerrigone et al. 2009).

However as discussed by KMT, it is unlikely that CK Vul is a post-main sequence object because (a) its current luminosity is $\sim 0.9\,L_\odot$ and (b) the implied abundances in CK Vul are atypical of VLTPs. As discussed by Hajduk et al. (2005) in the context of Sakurai's Object, a VLTP some 350 years after the event would have luminosity $\sim 10^4\,L_\odot$ and effective temperature $\gtrsim 6\,500$ K. The former is incompatible with the current luminosity of CK Vul (we dismiss the contrived case of an optically thick dust torus that intercepts only $\sim 10^{-4}$ of the radiation from the central object). However a serious problem for this interpretation is the detection of lithium in the CK Vul nebula (Hajduk et al. 2013). While lithium can be produced in a VLTP by a hydrogen-ingestion flash (Herwig 2005; Hajduk et al. 2005), KMT's strongest argument is that the current luminosity and isotopic abundances in CK Vul are not those expected of an evolved object. So unless our understanding of VLTPs is seriously in error (and the case of Sakurai's Object suggests that this might not be entirely out of the question) we conclude that CK Vul is not the result of a VLTP.

KMT suggest that CK Vul may be a unique transient, possibly related to "Luminous Red Variables" such as V1309 Sco (Tylenda et al. 2011) and V838 Mon (Bond et al. 2003); the mounting evidence is that such transients are stellar mergers. A possible difficulty with this interpretation for CK Vul is that the mergers observed to date seem invariably to be *oxygen*-rich (e.g. Banerjee et al. 2007; Nicholls et al. 2013), whereas the environment of CK Vul seems to be carbon-rich. However no known stellar merger has been observed ~ 350 years after the event, and little seems to be known about the expected C:O ratio and isotopic abundances of stellar mergers. On the other hand, Li production is a prediction of the merger of two He or CO WDs (Longland et al. 2012). Clearly a better understanding of the evolution of merged stars, including their properties and evolution in the first $\sim 10^3$ years after the merger, is required.

Finally, Miller Bertolami et al. (2011) have suggested that CK Vul may have been a DIN. In this model, inward diffusion of H, together with outward diffusion of C, into the He zone in the WD remnant of a low metallicity star leads to runaway CNO burning, mimicking a slow CN eruption. While there are a number of features of the DIN scenario that match those of Nova Vul 1670 and CK Vul, a difficulty with the DIN hypothesis is the inferred outburst luminosity (see Miller Bertolami et al. 2011). Further, the DIN interpretation suggests that there should be no PN associated with CK Vul, whereas there manifestly is (Hajduk et al. 2007, KMT).

In conclusion, there still seems to be no satisfactory explanation for Nova Vul 1670, CK Vul, and the relationship (if any) between them: the object remains a "riddle wrapped in a mystery inside an enigma" (Churchill 1939).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the referee for helpful comments which have helped to improve the paper. RDG was supported by NASA and the United States Air Force. CEW was supported in part by NASA *Spitzer* grants to the University of Minnesota. PJS thanks the Leverhulme Trust for award of a Research Fellowship. SS acknowledges partial support from NASA, NSF and *Spitzer* grants to ASU.

REFERENCES

Abergel A., et al., 2014, A&A, 566, A55
Banerjee D. P. K., Misselt K. A., Su K. Y. L., Ashok N. M., Smith P. S., 2007, ApJ, 666 L25
Boersma, C., Bauschlicher C. W., Allamandola L. J., Ricca A., Peeters E., Tielens A. G. G. M., 2010, A&A 511, A32
Bond H. E., et al., 2003, Nature, 422, 405
Candian A., Sarre P. J., 2015 MNRAS, 448, 2960
Cerrigone L., Hora J. L. Umana G., Trigilio C., 2009, ApJ, 703, 585
Churchill W. S., 1939, www.churchill-society-london.org.uk/RusnEnig.html
Dürbeck H. W., Benetti S., 1996, ApJ, 486, L11
Evans A., van Loon J. Th., Zijlstra A. A., Pollacco D., Smalley B., Tyne V. H., Eyres S. P. S., 2002, MNRAS, 332, L35 (EvLZ)
Evans A., Tyne V. H., Smith O., Geballe T. R., Rawlings J. M. C., Eyres S. P. S., 2005, MNRAS, 360, 1483
Evans A., Rawlings J. M. C., 2008, in Classical Novae, second edition, p. 308, eds M. F. Bode & A. Evans, Cambridge University press
Evans A., et al., 2010, MNRAS, 406, L86
Evans A., Gehrz R. D., 2012, BASI, 40, 213
Evans A., Gehrz R. D., Woodward C. E., Helton L. A., 2014, MNRAS, 444, 1683
Evans A., Gehrz R. D., Helton L. A., Woodward C. E., 2015, in The Physics of Evolved Stars,eds F. Millour, E. Lagadec, T. Lanz, EAS Publication Series (arXiv:1507.06961)
Ferland G. J., et al., 2013, Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis., 49, 137

Galliano F., Madden S. C., Tielens A. G. G. M., Peeters A., Jones A. P., 2008, ApJ, 679, 310
Gehrz R. D., et al., 2007, Rev. Sci. Instr., 78, 011302
Gehrz R. D., 2008, in Classical Novae, second edition, p. 167, eds M. F. Bode & A. Evans, Cambridge University press
Giveon U., Sternberg A., Lutz D., Feuchtgruber H., Pauldrach A. W. A., 2002, ApJ, 566, 880
Guzman-Ramirez L., Zijlstra A. A., Níchuimín R., Gesicki K., Lagadec E., Millar T. J., Woods P. M., 2011, MNRAS, 414, 1667
Hajduk M., et al., 2005, Science, 308, 231
Hajduk M., et al., 2007, MNRAS, 378, 1298
Hajduk M., van Hoof P. A. M., Zijlstra A. A., 2013, MNRAS, 432, 167
Helton L. A., Evans A., Woodward C. E, Gehrz, R. D., 2011, in PAHs and the Universe, eds C. Joblin, A. G. G. M. Tielens, EAS Publications Series, vol. 46, 407
Helton L. A., et al., 2012, ApJ, 755, 37
Harrison T. E., 1996, PASP, 108, 1112
Herwig F., 2005, ARAA, 43, 435
Hony S., Van Kerckhoven C., Peeters E., Tielens A. G. G. M., Hudgins D. M., Allamandola L. J., 2001, A&A, 370, 1030
Houck J. R., et al., 2004, ApJS, 154, 18
Hudgins D. M., Bauschlicher C. W., Allamandola L. J., 2005, ApJ, 632, 36
Iben I., 1982, ApJ, 260, 821
Kamiński T., Menten K. M., Tylenda R., Hajduk M., Patel N. A., Kraus A., 2015, Nature, 520, 322 (KMT)
Kato T., 2003, A&A, 399, 695
Longland R., Lorén-Aguilar P., José, J., García-Berro E., Althaus L. G., 2012, A&A, 542, A117
Miller Bertolami M. M., Althaus L. G., Olano C., Jiménez N., 2011, MNRAS, 415, 1396
Naylor T., Charles P. A., Mukai K., Evans, A., 1992, MNRAS, 258, 449
Nicholls C. P., et al., 2013, MNRAS, 431, L33
Osterbrock D. E., Ferland G. F., 2006, Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae and Active Galactic Nuclei, second edition, University Science Books
Peeters E., Hony S., Van Kerckhoven C., Tielens A. G. G. M., Allamandola L. J., Hudgins D. M., Bauschlicher C. W., 2002, A&A, 390, 1089
Prodan S., Antonini F., Perets H. B., 2015, ApJ, 799, 118
Rieke G., et al., 2004, ApJS, 154, 25
Shara M. M., Moffat A. F. J., Webbink R. F., 1985, ApJ, 294, 271
Sloan G. C., et al., 2007, ApJ, 664, 1144
Stanghellini L., García-Hernández D. A., García-Lario P., Davies J. E., Shaw R. A., Villaver E., Manchado A., Perea-Calderón J. V., 2012, ApJ, 753 172
Starrfield S., Illiadis C., Hix W. R., 2008, in Classical Novae, second edition, eds M. F. Bode & A. Evans, Cambridge University Press
Storey P. J., Hummer D. G., 1995, MNRAS, 272, 41
Tielens A. G. G. M., 2005, The Physics and Chemistry of the Interstellar Medium, Cambridge University Press
Tielens A. G. G. M., 2008, ARAA, 46, 289
Tylenda R., et al., 2011, A&A, 528, A114
Vogt N., 1990, ApJ, 356, 609
Werner M. W., et al., 2004, ApJS, 154, 1

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/ LATEX file prepared by the author.